

# $p$ -adic Galois representations and $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules

Hongxiang Zhao

April 14, 2024

## Abstract

In this note we present a basic theory of  $p$ -adic Galois representations and  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules. In particular, we prove a series of equivalences between both (1-)categories over various rings following Fontaine and Cherbonnier–Colmez.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Notations</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Perfectoid rings and tiltings</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Huber rings	3
2.2	Perfectoid rings	5
2.3	Tilting and the equivalence of étale sites	7
<b>3</b>	<b>Travel through a series of rings</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Rings of characteristic $p$	8
3.2	Rings of characteristic 0	9
<b>4</b>	<b><math>(\phi, \Gamma)</math>-modules</b>	<b>11</b>
4.1	Definition of $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules	12
4.2	$(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules and $p$ -adic Galois representations	13
<b>5</b>	<b>Robba rings</b>	<b>16</b>
5.1	Overconvergent elements	16
5.2	Robba rings	18

<b>6</b>	<b>Cherbonnier–Colmez’s theorem</b>	<b>18</b>
6.1	The Colmez–Sen–Tate conditions . . . . .	18
6.2	Cherbonnier–Colmez’s theorem . . . . .	20
	<b>References</b>	<b>23</b>

# 1 Notations

Suppose  $K$  is a field.

Let  $G_K$  denote the absolute Galois group of  $K$ .

Let  $\chi: G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  be the cyclotomic character.

If  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , then let  $K_\infty$  be the infinite cyclotomic extension over  $K$ . Let  $K_0$  be the maximal unramified extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  in  $K_\infty$  and  $k_{K_\infty}$  be the residue field of  $K_\infty$ . Let  $H_K := \ker(\chi|_{G_K}) \cong G_{K_\infty}$  and  $\Gamma_K := G_K/H_K \cong \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$  by local class field theory.

For a commutative ring  $R$ , let  $\mathbb{W}_P(R)$  denote the ring of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors over  $R$ .

Let  $R$  be a topological ring and  $G$  be a topological group acting continuously on  $R$ . Let  $\text{Rep}_R(G)$  denote the abelian category of continuous (finite free)  $R$ -representations of  $G$ .

## 2 Perfectoid rings and tiltings

The idea of the perfectoid rings is to show a correspondence between local fields of mixed characteristic and equal characteristic. In this section, we give a brief introduction to the basic settings in perfectoid rings, basically following [SW20].

The content of this section will not be heavily used in the following sections. We include them here because it provides a modern approach to [Corollary 2.20](#) and for future study in  $p$ -adic geometry beyond this note.

### 2.1 Huber rings

**Definition 2.1** (Huber ring). A topological ring  $A$  is **Huber** if  $A$  admits an open subring  $A_0 \subset A$  and a finitely generated ideal  $I \subset A_0$  such that  $\{I^n: n \geq 0\}$  forms a basis of neighborhoods of 0.

Any such  $A_0$  is called **a ring of definition of  $A$** .

**Example 2.2.** 1.  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p)$  and  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  are both Huber.

2. If  $k$  is a perfect field of characteristic  $p$ , then  $(\mathbb{W}_P(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_n]], \mathbb{W}_P(k)[[x_1, \dots, x_n]])$  is Huber with respect to the  $(p, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ -adic topology. This ring classifies deformations of formal group laws and shows up further in chromatic homotopy theory.

There is a simple characterization of a ring of definition via boundedness.

**Definition 2.3** (Bounded subset). A subset  $S$  of a topological ring  $A$  is **bounded** if for all open neighborhoods  $U$  of 0, there exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of 0 such that  $VS \subset U$ .

**Lemma 2.4** (cf. [SW20, Lemma 2.2.4]). *A subring  $A_0$  of a Huber ring  $A$  is a ring of definition if and only if  $A_0$  is open and bounded.*

The universal ring of definition is given by the so-called power-bounded elements.

**Definition 2.5** (Power-bounded elements). Let  $A$  be a Huber ring. An element  $x \in A$  is **power-bounded** if the subset  $\{x^n : n \geq 0\}$  is bounded. Let  $A^\circ \subset A$  be the subring of power-bounded elements.

**Proposition 2.6.** 1. *Any ring of definition  $A_0 \subset A$  is contained in  $A^\circ$ .*

2. *The ring  $A^\circ$  is the filtered union of the rings of definition  $A_0 \subset A$ .*

*Proof.* 1. Suppose  $x \in A_0$ , so  $x^n \in A_0$  for any  $n \geq 0$ . Since  $A_0$  is bounded by the above lemma,  $x \in A^\circ$ .

2. We first show that the poset of rings of definition is filtered. Suppose  $A_0, A'_0 \subset A$  are rings of definition. Let  $A''_0 \subset A$  be the subring generated by  $A_0, A'_0$ . For any  $U \subset A$  open neighborhood of 0, we want to find an open neighborhood  $V \subset A$  of 0 such that  $VA''_0 \subset U$ . We may assume that  $U$  is closed under addition (in fact, we can take  $U = I^n$ , where  $I$  is the ideal in the definition of  $A$  and  $A_0$ ). Then there is an open neighborhood  $U_1 \subset A$  of 0 such that  $U_1 A_0 \subset U$  and there is an open neighborhood  $V \subset A$  of 0 such that  $VA'_0 \subset U_1$ . Any element in  $A''_0$  can be written as a linear combination  $\sum_i x_i y_i$  where  $x_i \in A_0$  and  $y_i \in A'_0$ . Thus, we have

$$\left(\sum_i x_i y_i\right)V \subset \sum_i (x_i y_i V) \subset \sum_i x_i U_1 \subset \sum_i U \subset U$$

Therefore,  $A''_0$  is bounded and further a ring of definition by the above lemma.

Now pick an element  $x \in A^\circ$ . Suppose  $A_0$  is a ring of definition. Then  $A_0[x]$  is still a ring of definition since it is still bounded.

□

**Definition 2.7** (Uniform Huber ring). A Huber ring  $A$  is **uniform** if  $A^\circ$  is bounded, or equivalently,  $A^\circ$  is a ring of definition.

**Definition 2.8** (Huber pair and ring of integral elements). A **Huber pair** is a pair  $(A, A^+)$ , where  $A$  is a Huber ring and  $A^+ \subset A$  is an open and integrally closed subring of  $A$ .

Such  $A^+$  is called **a ring of integral elements**.

Let  $A^{\circ\circ} \subset A$  be the subset of topologically nilpotent elements. For any  $x \in A^{\circ\circ}$ ,  $x^n \in A^+$  for  $n$  large enough since  $A^+$  is open. Therefore,  $x$  must lie in  $A^+$  since  $A^+$  is integrally closed, so we have  $A^{\circ\circ} \subset A^+$  for any ring of integral elements  $A^+$ .

To sum up, we have the following inclusions between subrings in a Huber ring  $A$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} A^{\circ\circ} & \hookrightarrow & A^+ & \hookrightarrow & A^\circ & \hookrightarrow & A \\ & & & & \uparrow \sim & & \\ & & & & A_0 & \hookrightarrow & \bigcup A_0 \end{array}$$

where the union is filtered and is taken over all rings of definition  $A_0$  in  $A$ .

## 2.2 Perfectoid rings

**Definition 2.9** (Tate ring and pseudo-uniformizer). A Huber ring  $A$  is **Tate** if it contains a topologically nilpotent unit. A **pseudo-uniformizer** in  $A$  is a topologically nilpotent unit.

**Definition 2.10** (Perfectoid ring and perfectoid field). A complete Tate ring  $R$  is **perfectoid** if  $R$  is uniform and there exists a pseudo-uniformizer  $\varpi$  of  $R$  lives in  $R^\circ$  such that  $p$  divides  $\varpi^p$  in  $R^\circ$ , and the  $p$ -th power Frobenius map

$$\phi: R^\circ/\varpi \rightarrow R^\circ/\varpi^p$$

is an isomorphism.

A **perfectoid field** is a perfectoid ring  $R$  which is a non-archimedean field.

**Proposition 2.11.** *Suppose  $R$  is a complete Tate ring that admits a pseudo-uniformizer  $\varpi$  of  $R$  lives in  $R^\circ$  such that  $p$  divides  $\varpi^p$  in  $R^\circ$ . Then the  $p$ -th power Frobenius map  $\phi: R^\circ/\varpi \rightarrow R^\circ/\varpi^p$  is an isomorphism if and only if the Frobenius map  $R^\circ/p \rightarrow R^\circ/p$  is surjective.*

*In particular, the above definition does not depend on the choice of  $\varpi$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x \in R^\circ$  and  $x^p = \varpi^p y$  for some  $y \in R^\circ$ , then  $(x/\varpi)^p \in R^\circ$ . By the definition of  $R^\circ$ ,  $x/\varpi \in R^\circ$ . Therefore,  $\phi$  is always injective.

We have a commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} R^\circ & \longrightarrow & R^\circ/p & \longrightarrow & R^\circ/p \\ & \searrow & & & \downarrow \\ & & R^\circ/\varpi & \xrightarrow{\phi} & R^\circ/\varpi^p \end{array}$$

Thus, the surjectivity of the Frobenius on  $R^\circ/p$  implies the surjectivity of  $\phi$ .

Conversely, if  $\phi$  is surjective, then for any  $x \in R^\circ$ , we can approximate  $x$  successively via  $\phi$  since  $\varpi$  is topologically nilpotent and  $R$  is complete, i.e.,  $x = x_0^p + x_1^p \varpi^p + x_2^p \varpi^{2p} + \dots$  for some  $x_0, x_1, \dots \in R^\circ$ . Thus,  $x - (x_0 + x_1 \varpi + x_2 \varpi^2 + \dots) \in pR^\circ$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.12** (cf. [SW20, Proposition 6.1.6]). *Let  $R$  be a complete Tate ring of characteristic  $p$ . Then  $R$  is perfectoid if and only if  $R$  is perfect.*

**Proposition 2.13** (cf. [SW20, Proposition 6.1.9]). *Let  $K$  be a non-archimedean field. Then  $K$  is a perfectoid field if and only if the following conditions hold.*

1.  $K$  is not discretely valued.
2.  $|p| < 1$ .
3.  $\phi: \mathcal{O}_K/p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/p$  is surjective.

We give the following examples of perfectoid rings without proof. Some of them can be found in [SW20, Example 6.1.5].

**Example 2.14.** 1. *If  $A$  is perfectoid,  $A^\circ$  is also perfectoid.*

2. *By the above criterion,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is not perfectoid, nor any finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .*
3. *The  $p$ -adic completion  $\mathbb{C}_p$  of  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  is perfectoid.*
4. *The  $p$ -adic completion  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}}$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})$  is perfectoid.*
5. *The integer rings of  $\mathbb{C}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}}$  are also perfectoid.*
6. *Suppose  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Fix a uniformizer  $\pi$  of  $K$  and a Lubin-Tate formal group law  $F \in \mathcal{O}_K[[X, Y]]$ . Then the  $p$ -adic completion of  $K_\pi$  associated to  $F$  in explicit local class field theory by Lubin and Tate is a perfectoid field.*
7. *The  $T$ -adic completion  $\mathbb{F}_p((T^{1/p^\infty}))$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p((T))(T^{1/p^\infty})$  is perfectoid.*

## 2.3 Tilting and the equivalence of étale sites

**Definition 2.15** (Tilt). Let  $R$  be a perfectoid ring. The **tilt** of  $R$  is

$$R^\flat := \varinjlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} R$$

with the limit topology. A priori this is only a topological multiplicative monoid. In particular, we have a continuous and multiplicative map  $(-)^{\sharp}: R^\flat \rightarrow R$  projecting to the first coordinate. Furthermore, we can promote  $R^\flat$  to a topological ring where the addition is given by

$$(x_0, x_1, \dots) + (y_0, y_1, \dots) := (z_0, z_1, \dots)$$

where

$$z_i := \varinjlim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (x_{i+n} + y_{i+n})^{p^n}.$$

Note that  $(-)^{\sharp}$  is not additive.

**Lemma 2.16** (cf. [SW20, Lemma 6.2.2]). 1. *The above addition promotes  $R^\flat$  to a topological perfect  $F_p$ -algebra.*

2.

$$R^{\flat^\circ} \cong R^{\circ\flat} := \varinjlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} R^\circ \cong \varinjlim_{x \rightarrow x^p} R^\circ/p \cong \varinjlim_{\varpi} R^\circ/\varpi$$

where  $\varpi \in R^\circ$  is a pseudo-uniformizer which divides  $p$  in  $R^\circ$ .

3. *There exists a pseudo-uniformizer  $\varpi$  of  $R$  lives in  $R^\circ$  such that  $p$  divides  $\varpi^p$  in  $R^\circ$ , and admits a sequence of  $p$ -th power roots  $\varpi^{1/p^n}$  in  $R^\circ$ , and the sequence  $\varpi^\flat := (\varpi, \varpi^{1/p}, \dots) \in R^{\flat^\circ}$  is a pseudo-uniformizer of  $R^\flat$ . Furthermore,  $R^\flat = R^{\flat^\circ}[1/\varpi^\flat]$ .*

**Remark 2.17.** Suppose  $K$  is a perfectoid field. Then the composition  $K^\flat \xrightarrow{(-)^{\sharp}} K \xrightarrow{|\cdot|} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  promotes  $K^\flat$  to a complete non-archimedean field of characteristic  $p$ .

**Example 2.18** (cf. [SW20, Example 6.2.4]). Let  $\zeta_p, \zeta_{p^2}, \dots$  be a compatible system of  $p$ -th power roots of unity in  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}}$ ,  $\epsilon := (1, \zeta_p, \zeta_{p^2}, \dots) \in (\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}})^\flat$ . Then  $\bar{\pi} := \epsilon - 1$  is a pseudo-uniformizer of  $(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}})^\flat$ . In fact,  $(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}})^\flat \cong \mathbb{F}_p((T^{1/p^\infty}))$  sending  $\bar{\pi}$  to  $T$ .

**Theorem 2.19** (The equivalence of étale sites, cf. [SW20, Theorem 7.3.1 and Theorem 7.3.2]). Let  $K$  be a perfectoid field. Then there is an equivalence between the sites of finite étale algebras over  $K$  and over  $K^\flat$ .

**Corollary 2.20.** *We have that  $G_{(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}})^b} \cong G_{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}}} \cong H_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ .*

Thus, instead of working over  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}}$ , we can move to its tilt, which is of characteristic  $p$ .

### 3 Travel through a series of rings

Now we will define a series of rings in  $p$ -adic Galois representations. The goal is to transfer from the original base rings of  $p$ -adic Galois representations, such as  $\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , to rings that carry more structures while preserve the Galois groups.

Various but similar notations of rings are very confusing for a first read. It is always a good idea to keep in mind a picture of ring extensions. The rules of naming the rings are the following.

The letter  $A$  stands for a topological ring with a non-archimedean valuation,  $B$  stands for inverting  $p$  in  $A$  (most time  $B$  stands for a field and  $A$  will stand for the integer ring of  $B$ ), and  $E$  stands for the reduction of  $A$  modulo  $p$ . The rings with tilde will always be larger than the one without tilde.

#### 3.1 Rings of characteristic $p$

We will start with the series of rings named by  $E$ , which will deal with the  $p$ -adic Galois representations over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ .

Let  $\tilde{E} := \mathbb{C}_p^b$ ,  $\tilde{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := (\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}})^b$  and  $E_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := \mathbb{F}_p((T))$ . Let  $\epsilon := (1, \zeta_p, \zeta_{p^2}, \dots)$  for a chosen compatible system of  $p$ -th power roots of unity and  $\bar{\pi} := \epsilon - 1$  as in [Example 2.18](#). Define the non-archimedean valuation  $\text{val}_E$  on  $\tilde{E}$  via [Remark 2.17](#). Then

$$\text{val}_{\tilde{E}}(\bar{\pi}) = \text{val}_p\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\zeta_{p^n} - 1)^{p^n}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} p^n \text{val}_p(\zeta_{p^n} - 1) = \frac{p}{p-1} > 0.$$

Thus, there is an inclusion  $E_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow \tilde{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  given by  $T \mapsto \bar{\pi}$ . Let  $E := \mathbb{F}_p((T))^{\text{sep}}$  in  $\tilde{E}$ . In other words, we have the following diagram of field extensions.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}_p^b =: \tilde{E} & \longleftarrow & E := \mathbb{F}_p((T))^{\text{sep}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}})^b =: \tilde{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & \longleftarrow & E_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := \mathbb{F}_p((T)) \end{array}$$



All of these rings are characteristic  $p$ . Thus, they carry an action by the Frobenius map  $\phi$ . Note that  $\tilde{E}$  and  $\tilde{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  are perfect while  $E$  and  $E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  are not. Furthermore,  $\tilde{E} := \mathbb{C}_p^b$  carries an action by  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  component-wise.

**Theorem 3.1** (cf. [Ber10, Theorem 15.4]). *The canonical map  $H_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \cong G_{\tilde{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(E/E_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$  is an isomorphism.*

Recall that the first isomorphism here is given by [Corollary 2.20](#).

If  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , let  $E_K := E^{H_K}$ , which is a finite extension of  $E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  by the above theorem and Galois correspondence.

**Lemma 3.2.** *If  $\bar{\pi}_K$  is a uniformizer of  $E_K$ , then  $T \mapsto \bar{\pi}_K$  defines an isomorphism  $k_{K_\infty}((T)) \cong E_K$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $E_K$  is a finite extension of  $E_{\mathbb{Q}_p} := \mathbb{F}_p((T))$  and the residue field of  $E_K$  is  $k_{K_\infty}$ , we conclude by the structure theorem for local fields of equal characteristic.  $\square$

We have the following generalization of Hilbert's Theorem 90 and its corollary.

**Proposition 3.3** (cf. [Ber10, Corollary 7.3]). *Let  $L/K$  be a Galois extension with  $G := \text{Gal}(L/K)$ . If we equip  $L$  with the discrete topology, then  $H_{\text{cts}}^1(G, L) = 0$  and  $H_{\text{cts}}^1(G, \text{GL}_n(L)) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

**Theorem 3.4.** *If  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , then  $H_{\text{cts}}^1(H_K, E) = 0$  and  $H_{\text{cts}}^1(H_K, \text{GL}_n(E)) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Here  $E$  is equipped with the discrete topology.*

## 3.2 Rings of characteristic 0

Next, we will introduce the series of rings named by  $A$  and  $B$ , which will deal with  $p$ -adic Galois representations over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  respectively.

A canonical way to transfer from characteristic  $p$  to characteristic 0 is via the  $p$ -typical ring of Witt vectors. However, since  $E$  is not perfect,  $\mathbb{W}_P(E)$  is not well-behaved (say,  $\mathbb{W}_P(E)$  is not  $p$ -adically complete and elements in  $\mathbb{W}_P(E)$  do not have a series representation). Thus, we need more works to lift the rings  $E$  and  $E_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  to characteristic 0.

Firstly, we want to introduce the weak topology on the ring of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors. Suppose  $R$  is a perfect ring of characteristic 0 complete with respect to a valuation  $\text{val}$ . Then for each  $k > 0$ , define  $w_k: \mathbb{W}_P(R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  by  $w_k(x) := \inf_{i \leq k} \text{val}(x_i)$  for

$x = \sum_{i>0} p^i [x_i]_P$  in  $\mathbb{W}_P(R)$ , where  $[-]_P$  is the Teichmüller representative. Then  $w_k(x) = +\infty$  if and only if  $x \in p^{k+1}\mathbb{W}_P(R)$  and  $w_k(x+y) \geq \inf(w_k(x), w_k(y))$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{W}_P(R)$ .

**Definition 3.5** (Weak topology on the ring of  $p$ -typical Witt vectors). The **weak topology** on  $\mathbb{W}_P(R)$  is the topology defined by  $w_k$  for all  $k$ .

**Proposition 3.6** (cf. [Ber10, Proposition 16.4]). *The ring  $\mathbb{W}_P(R)$  is complete with respect to the weak topology.*

Let  $\tilde{A} := \mathbb{W}_P(\tilde{E}) := \mathbb{W}_P(\mathbb{C}_p^\flat)$  and  $\tilde{B} := \tilde{A}[1/p] := \mathbb{W}_P(\mathbb{C}_p^\flat)[1/p]$ . Note that  $\tilde{A}$  is equipped with both  $p$ -adic topology and the weak topology discussed above. Furthermore,  $\tilde{A}$  is complete with respect to both topologies. We equip  $\tilde{B} = \cup_{k>0} p^{-k}\tilde{A}$  with the colimit topologies of the  $p$ -adic topology and the weak topology on  $\tilde{A}$  respectively. As a ring of Witt vectors,  $\tilde{A}$  is equipped with a Frobenius map  $\phi$ . Also, there is a lift of the  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action on  $\tilde{E}$  to  $\tilde{A}$ .

In order to lift the rings  $E$  and  $E_K$  to characteristic 0, where  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , let  $A_K := (\mathbb{W}_P(k_{K_\infty}((T)))_p^\wedge$  and  $B_K := A_K[1/p]$ . Similar to the inclusions  $E_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow \tilde{E}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \hookrightarrow \tilde{E}$ , we have an inclusion  $A_K \hookrightarrow \tilde{A}$  given by  $T \mapsto [\bar{\pi}_K]_P$ , where  $\bar{\pi}_K$  is a uniformizer of  $E_K \cong k_{K_\infty}((T)) \hookrightarrow \tilde{E}$ . Note that  $w_k([\bar{\pi}_K]) = \text{val}_E(\bar{\pi}_K) > 0$ , so this map is well-defined. This map also extends to an inclusion  $B_K \hookrightarrow \tilde{B}$ .

Let  $A := (\text{colim}_K A_K)_p^\wedge$  and  $B := A[1/p]$ , where  $K$  runs through all finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Then  $A/pA \cong \text{colim}_K E_K = E$ . By construction,  $A_K$  inherits a  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action from  $\tilde{A}$  and is fixed by  $H_K$ .

**Lemma 3.7** (cf. [BC09, Lemma 13.5.7]). *For each finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $A^{H_K} = A_K$ .*

Since we mapped  $T$  to  $[\bar{\pi}_K]_P$  in the construction of  $A_K$ ,  $A_K$  is  $\phi$ -stable in  $\tilde{A}$ . Thus,  $A$  and  $B$  are  $\phi$ -stable in  $\tilde{A}$ .

The following proposition is a corollary of [Proposition 3.3](#).

**Proposition 3.8** (cf. [Ber10, Proposition 7.4]). *Let  $\varpi$  be a topologically nilpotent element of a ring  $R$  which is complete for the  $\varpi$ -adic topology and in which  $\varpi$  is not a zero divisor. Let  $G$  be a group which acts on  $R$  continuously and fixes  $\varpi$ .*

*If  $H_{\text{cts}}^1(G, \text{GL}_n(R/\varpi R)) = H_{\text{cts}}^1(G, R/\varpi R) = 0$  and the map  $\text{GL}_n(R) \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(R/\varpi R)$  is surjective, then  $H_{\text{cts}}^1(G, \text{GL}_n(R)) = H_{\text{cts}}^1(G, R) = 0$ .*

**Theorem 3.9.** *If  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , then  $H_{\text{cts}}^1(H_K, A) = 0$  and  $H_{\text{cts}}^1(H_K, \text{GL}_n(A)) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Here  $A$  is equipped with the  $p$ -adic topology.*

*Proof.* Note that  $p$  is a topologically nilpotent element of  $A$ ,  $A$  is  $p$ -complete and  $p$  is not a zero-divisor in  $A$ . Every lift of  $\text{GL}_n(E)$  to  $\text{Mat}_n(A)$  has determinant not in  $pA$ . Since  $A/pA \cong E$  is a field, every lift is invertible. Therefore, we conclude by the above proposition and [Theorem 3.4](#).  $\square$

Note that  $B/B_K$  is not algebraic, so we cannot prove  $H_{\text{cts}}^1(H_K, B) = H_{\text{cts}}^1(H_K, \text{GL}_d(B)) = 0$  via [Proposition 3.3](#). Besides, we cannot use the above proposition since  $B$  is a field.

To sum up, we have the following diagram of extensions of rings.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \tilde{E} := \mathbb{C}_p^\flat & & \tilde{A} := \mathbb{W}_P(\mathbb{C}_p^\flat) & & \tilde{B} := \mathbb{W}_P(\mathbb{C}_p^\flat)[1/p] \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 E & & A & & B \\
 \downarrow H_K & & \downarrow H_K & & \downarrow H_K \\
 E_K & \xleftarrow{1/p} & A_K & \xrightarrow{1/p} & B_K \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 E_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & & A_{\mathbb{Q}_p} & & B_{\mathbb{Q}_p}
 \end{array}$$

where the rings without tilde named by  $E$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  are Laurent series,  $p$ -adic completion of Laurent series and  $p$ -adic completion of Laurent series inverting  $p$  over various coefficients respectively.

## 4 $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules

In this section, we prove a series of equivalences between the (1-)categories of Galois representations and  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules, which reduces Galois representations to some explicit objects that we can compute with.

Let  $R$  substitute for one of the letters  $E$ ,  $A$  and  $B$  in this paragraph. Conceptually, for a finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , the construction of  $R_K$  has and only has contained all the ramification information (by which we mean  $K_\infty$ ), so that  $H_K$  acts freely on  $R$  and  $R^{H_K} = R_K$ . Therefore, we can reduce Galois representations over  $R$  to the totally ramified part by taking the  $H_K$ -fixed points, which is controlled by  $\Gamma_K$ . On the other hand, the information of unramified part is determined by the action of Frobenius map  $\phi$ .

## 4.1 Definition of $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules

In this subsection, suppose  $R$  is a commutative ring with an endomorphism  $\sigma$ .

**Definition 4.1** ( $\phi$ -module). A  $\phi$ -**module over**  $R$  is a  $R$ -module  $M$  together with a  $\sigma$ -semilinear endomorphism  $\phi: M \rightarrow M$ , i.e.,  $\phi$  is additive and  $\phi(rm) = \sigma(r)\phi(m)$  for all  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ .

Equivalently, a  $\phi$ -module over  $R$  is a  $R$ -module  $M$  with a  $R$ -linear morphism  $\Phi: M \rightarrow \sigma^*M$ .

For simplicity, we will only denote a  $\phi$ -module  $(M, \Phi)$  by the underlying module  $M$ .

**Definition 4.2** (Étale  $\phi$ -module). An **étale  $\phi$ -module over**  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -module  $M$  such that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism.

The following is an easy lemma. We omit the proof.

**Lemma 4.3.** *If  $D$  is a finite free  $\phi$ -module of dimension  $n$  over  $R$ , then  $D$  is étale if and only if  $\text{Mat}(\phi) \in \text{GL}_n(R)$ .*

Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a group and  $R$  is equipped with an action of  $\Gamma$ , which commutes with  $\sigma$ .

**Definition 4.4** ( $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -module). A  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -**module over**  $R$  is a  $\phi$ -module with a semilinear  $\Gamma$ -action commuting with  $\phi$ .

Similarly, we will only denote a  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -module by its underlying module.

Suppose now that  $R$  and  $\Gamma$  are both equipped with Hausdorff and complete topology. In addition, suppose that  $R$  is a Noetherian flat  $R$ -algebra via the structure continuous map  $\sigma$ .

**Definition 4.5** (Étale  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -module). An **étale  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -module over**  $R$  is a  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -module over  $R$  such that  $\phi$  and the  $\Gamma$ -action is continuous, and it is étale as a  $\phi$ -module.

Let  $\text{Mod}_R^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma)$  denote the abelian category of  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules over  $R$  (cf. [FO22, Proposition 3.19]).

**Remark 4.6.** *When we discuss étale  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules over  $E_K$ , we consider the topology given by  $\text{val}_E$ .*

*When we discuss étale  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules over  $A_K$  and  $B_K$ , we consider the weak topology on them.*

**Notation.** *For simplicity (and as done in many references, such as [Ber10]), we will assume that all  $\phi$ -modules and  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules are finite free.*

## 4.2 $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules and $p$ -adic Galois representations

Suppose  $G$  is a topological group,  $R$  is a topological commutative ring with a continuous  $G$ -action and  $M$  is a finite free  $R$ -module of dimension  $n$  with a continuous semilinear  $G$ -action. Pick a basis  $e$  for  $D$ . Then the map  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$  given by  $g \mapsto \mathrm{Mat}_e(g)$  is a 1-cocycle in  $C_{\mathrm{cts}}^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(R))$ . If we choose another basis for  $D$ , the 1-cocycle will differ by a 1-coboundary. Furthermore, it gives us a (non-canonical) bijection of sets

$$\{\text{semilinear representations of } G \text{ of dimension } n\} / \text{isomorphisms} \cong H^1(G, \mathrm{GL}_n(R)).$$

By the above discussion and [Theorem 3.9](#) and [Theorem 3.4](#), we get the following.

**Corollary 4.7.** *Suppose  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Every semilinear representation of  $H_K$  of dimension  $n$  over  $A$  and  $E$  is (non-canonically) isomorphic to  $A^n$  and  $E^n$  respectively.*

In the rest of this section, suppose  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ .

**Proposition 4.8.** *Suppose  $V$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -representation of  $G_K$  of dimension  $n$  and  $D(V) := (E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V)^{H_K}$ . Then  $D(V)$  is an étale  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -module over  $E_K$  of dimension  $n$ ,  $E \otimes_{E_K} D(V) \cong E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$  in the category of  $(\phi, G_K)$ -modules via the map  $\lambda \otimes x \mapsto \lambda x$ . In particular,  $V \cong (E \otimes_{E_K} D(V))^{\phi=1}$  via the above isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By [Corollary 4.7](#),  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V \simeq E^n$  in the category of representations of  $H_K$  over  $E$ . Therefore,  $D(V) \cong E_K^n$  in the category of  $E_K$ -modules. Since  $\phi$  commutes with the  $H_K$ -action on  $E$ ,  $D(V)$  promotes to a  $\phi$ -module over  $E_K$ . Since the remaining  $\Gamma_K$ -action on  $D(V)$  acts trivially on  $E$ , it commutes with  $\phi$ . Thus,  $D(V)$  promotes to a  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -module over  $E_K$ .

Now we show that  $D(V)$  is étale. Suppose  $e = (e_i)$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -basis for  $V$ ,  $f = (f_i)$  is an  $E_K$ -basis for  $D(V)$  and  $f = eA$  for some  $A \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E)$ . Suppose  $\phi(f) = fB$  for some  $B \in \mathrm{Mat}_n(E_K)$ . Then  $e\phi(A) = \phi(f) = fB = eAB$ . Thus,  $B = A^{-1}\phi(A) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(E_K)$ , which implies that  $D(V)$  is étale.

Since  $\dim(D(V)) = \dim(V)$ , there is an  $E$ -basis of  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$  lives in  $D(V)$ . Thus,  $E \otimes_{E_K} D(V) \cong E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$  via the map  $\lambda \otimes x \mapsto \lambda x$ . This morphism commutes with  $\phi$  and the  $G_K$ -action. Thus, this isomorphism promotes to an isomorphism in the category of  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -modules.

$$\text{Since } \mathbb{F}_p = E^{\phi=1}, V \cong (E \otimes_{E_K} D(V))^{\phi=1}. \quad \square$$

Actually, the functor  $D$  is an equivalence. To prove this, we need the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.9** (cf. [Ber10, Theorem 8.6]). *If  $k$  is a separably closed field of characteristic  $p$ , and  $V$  is an étale  $\phi$ -module over  $k$ , then  $V$  admits a basis fixed by  $\phi$  and  $1 - \phi: V \rightarrow V$  is surjective.*

**Proposition 4.10.** *Suppose  $D$  is an étale  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -module over  $E_K$  of dimension  $n$ . Then  $(E \otimes_{E_K} D)^{\phi=1}$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -representation of  $G_K$  of dimension  $n$  and  $E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} (E \otimes_{E_K} D)^{\phi=1} \cong E \otimes_{E_K} D$  in the category of  $(\phi, G_K)$ -modules via the map  $\lambda \otimes x \mapsto \lambda x$ . In particular,  $D \cong (E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} (E \otimes_{E_K} D)^{\phi=1})^{H_K}$  via the above isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since  $E \cong \mathbb{F}_p((T))^{\text{sep}}$  is separably closed of characteristic  $p$ ,  $E \otimes_{E_K} D$  admits a basis fixed by  $\phi$  by [Theorem 4.9](#). Therefore,  $(E \otimes_{E_K} D)^{\phi=1}$  has dimension  $n$ .

The remaining proof is similar to the one of [Proposition 4.8](#). □

Therefore, we have established the following equivalence of categories.

**Theorem 4.11.** *There is an equivalence of abelian categories  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_K) \cong \text{Mod}_{E_K}^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma_K)$  given by  $V \mapsto (E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V)^{H_K}$  and  $D \mapsto (E \otimes_{E_K} D)^{\phi=1}$  for  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_K)$  and  $D \in \text{Mod}_{E_K}^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ .*

By [Theorem 3.9](#), one can prove the following proposition mimicking the proof of [Proposition 4.8](#).

**Proposition 4.12.** *Suppose  $V$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -representation of  $G_K$  of dimension  $n$  and  $D(V) := (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V)^{H_K}$ . Then  $D(V)$  is an étale  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -module over  $A_K$  of dimension  $n$ ,  $A \otimes_{A_K} D(V) \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$  in the category of  $(\phi, G_K)$ -modules via the map  $\lambda \otimes x \mapsto \lambda x$ . In particular,  $V \cong (A \otimes_{A_K} D(V))^{\phi=1}$  via the above isomorphism.*

By successive approximation, we have the following corollary of [Theorem 4.9](#).

**Corollary 4.13.** *If  $R$  is a commutative ring which is complete with respect to the  $p$ -adic topology,  $R/pR$  is a separably closed field of characteristic  $p$ ,  $R$  is equipped with a Frobenius endomorphism  $\phi$  lifting the Frobenius on  $R/pR$ , and  $V$  is an étale  $\phi$ -module over  $R$ , then  $V$  admits a basis fixed by  $\phi$  and  $1 - \phi: V \rightarrow V$  is surjective.*

Similarly, we have the following proposition for  $A$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  and the equivalence of categories.

**Proposition 4.14.** *Suppose  $D$  is an étale  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -module over  $A_K$  of dimension  $n$ . Then  $(A \otimes_{A_K} D)^{\phi=1}$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -representation of  $G_K$  of dimension  $n$  and  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (A \otimes_{A_K} D)^{\phi=1} \cong A \otimes_{A_K} D$  in the category of  $(\phi, G_K)$ -modules via the map  $\lambda \otimes x \mapsto \lambda x$ . In particular,  $D \cong (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (A \otimes_{A_K} D)^{\phi=1})^{H_K}$  via the above isomorphism.*

**Theorem 4.15.** *There is an equivalence of abelian categories  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_K) \cong \text{Mod}_{A_K}^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma_K)$  given by  $V \mapsto (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V)^{H_K}$  and  $D \mapsto (A \otimes_{A_K} D)^{\phi=1}$  for  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_K)$  and  $D \in \text{Mod}_{A_K}^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ .*

As said at the end of [Section 3.2](#), there is no analog of Hilbert's theorem 90 for  $B$ . Hence, we can only derive the equivalence of categories from the results for  $A_K$ . To do this, we need to modify the definition for étale  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -modules over  $B_K$  as follows.

**Definition 4.16** (Étale  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -modules over  $B_K$ ). An **étale  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -module over  $B_K$**  is a  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -module  $D$  of dimension  $n$  over  $B_K$  such that there is a basis for  $D$  in which  $\text{Mat}(\phi) \in \text{GL}_n(A_K)$ .

**Lemma 4.17.** *Every continuous  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -representation  $V$  of dimension  $n$  of  $G_K$  admits a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice stable under  $G_K$ .*

*Proof.* Pick a basis for  $V$ . The basis spans a  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $V$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is open in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  is an open subgroup of  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Thus, the subgroup  $H$  of  $G_K$  consisting of elements  $g$  such that  $g\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{L}$  is an open subgroup of  $G_K$ . Since  $G_K$  is compact,  $H$  is of finite index. Then  $\sum_{g \in G} gT$  is a finite sum and is a stable  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice in  $V$ . □

**Proposition 4.18.** *Suppose  $V$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -representation of  $G_K$  of dimension  $n$  and  $D(V) := (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_K}$ . Then  $D(V)$  is an étale  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -module over  $B_K$  of dimension  $n$ ,  $B \otimes_{B_K} D(V) \cong B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$  in the category of  $(\phi, G_K)$ -modules via the map  $\lambda \otimes x \mapsto \lambda x$ . In particular,  $V \cong (B \otimes_{B_K} D(V))^{\phi=1}$  via the above isomorphism.*

*Proof.* By the above lemma, pick a stable  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -lattice  $\mathcal{L}$  of  $B$ . By [Theorem 3.9](#),  $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{L} \simeq A^n$  as an  $A$ -representation of  $H_K$ . Thus,  $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V \simeq B^n$  as  $B$ -representations of  $H_K$ . The remaining proof is similar to [Proposition 4.8](#).

It remains to show that  $D(V)$  is étale. Note that

$$B_K \otimes_{A_K} D(\mathcal{L}) := B_K \otimes_{A_K} (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{L})^{H_K} \cong (B_K \otimes_{A_K} A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{L})^{H_K} \cong (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{L})^{H_K} \cong D(V)$$

in the category of  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -modules. Therefore, an  $A_K$ -basis for  $D(\mathcal{L})$  induces a  $B_K$ -basis  $D(V)$ . Thus,  $D(V)$  is étale.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.19.** *Suppose  $D$  is an étale  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -module over  $B_K$  of dimension  $n$ . Then  $(B \otimes_{B_K} D)^{\phi=1}$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -representation of  $G_K$  of dimension  $n$  and  $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (B \otimes_{B_K} D)^{\phi=1} \cong B \otimes_{B_K} D$  in the category of  $(\phi, G_K)$ -modules via the map  $\lambda \otimes x \mapsto \lambda x$ . In particular,  $D \cong (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (B \otimes_{B_K} D)^{\phi=1})^{H_K}$  via the above isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Since  $D$  is étale over  $B_K$ , there is a submodule  $D_0$  of  $D$  such that  $D \cong B_K \otimes_{A_K} D_0$  as  $\phi, \Gamma_K$ -modules. Then [Theorem 4.15](#) implies that  $A \otimes_{A_K} D_0 \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (A \otimes_{A_K} D_0)^{\phi=1}$ . Thus,

$$B \otimes_{B_K} D \cong B \otimes_{A_K} D_0 \cong B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (A \otimes_{A_K} D_0)^{\phi=1} \cong B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} (\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (A \otimes_{A_K} D_0)^{\phi=1})$$

in the category of  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -modules. Since  $B^{\phi=1} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (A \otimes_{A_K} D_0)^{\phi=1} \cong (B \otimes_{B_K} D)^{\phi=1}$ .  $\square$

Therefore, we have finally proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.20.** *There is an equivalence of abelian categories  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K) \cong \text{Mod}_{B_K}^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma_K)$  given by  $V \mapsto (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_K}$  and  $D \mapsto (B \otimes_{B_K} D)^{\phi=1}$  for  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  and  $D \in \text{Mod}_{B_K}^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ .*

## 5 Robba rings

In this section, we will just rush through the construction of the Robba ring and its properties without any proof. We recommend [\[Wan20\]](#) for detailed proofs.

### 5.1 Overconvergent elements

Recall that  $\tilde{E} := \mathbb{C}_p^\flat$ ,  $\tilde{A} := \mathbb{W}_P(\mathbb{C}_p^\flat)$  and for each  $k > 0$ ,  $w_k: \tilde{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  is given by  $w_k(x) := \inf_{i \leq k} \text{val}_{\tilde{E}}(x_i)$  for any  $x = \sum_{i > 0} p^i [x_i] \in \mathbb{W}_P(\mathbb{C}_p^\flat)$ .

For any  $r > 0$ , let

$$\tilde{A}^{\dagger, r} := \left\{ x \in \tilde{A} : w_k(x) + k \frac{pr}{p-1} \geq 0 \text{ for all } k > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \left( w_k(x) + k \frac{pr}{p-1} \right) = +\infty \right\}$$

Note that if  $r_2 > r_1 > 0$ , then  $\tilde{A}^{\dagger, r_2} \supset \tilde{A}^{\dagger, r_1}$ .



**Lemma 5.1** (cf. [Wan20, Lemma 1.4]). *The set  $\tilde{A}^{\dagger,r}$  is a subring of  $\tilde{A}$  which is stable under  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  and  $\phi: \tilde{A}^{\dagger,r} \rightarrow \tilde{A}^{\dagger,pr}$  is a bijection.*

Let  $\nu_r: \tilde{A}^{\dagger,r} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  given by  $\nu_r(x) := \inf_{k>0} (w_k(x) + k \frac{pr}{p-1})$ . The following lemma shows that this is a valuation on  $\tilde{A}^{\dagger,r}$ . It will make  $\tilde{A}^{\dagger,r}$  into a complete valuation ring, whose topology is compatible with the action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  and the map  $\phi$ .

**Lemma 5.2** (cf. [Wan20, Lemma 1.5]). *For any  $r > 0$  and  $x, y \in \tilde{A}^{\dagger,r}$ ,*

1.  $\nu_r(x) = +\infty$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .
2.  $\nu_r(x + y) \geq \inf(\nu_r(x), \nu_r(y))$ .
3.  $\nu_r(xy) = \nu_r(x) + \nu_r(y)$ .
4.  $\nu_{pr}(\phi(x)) = p\nu_r(x)$ .
5.  $\nu_r(px) = \nu_r(x) + \frac{pr}{r-1}$ .
6.  $\nu_r(\sigma(x)) = \nu_r(x)$  for all  $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ .

**Proposition 5.3** (cf. [Wan20, Proposition 1.7]). *The ring  $\tilde{A}^{\dagger,r}$  is Hausdorff and complete with respect to the topology given by  $\nu_r$ .*

**Lemma 5.4.** *For all  $r > 0$ , the action of  $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$  on  $\tilde{A}^{\dagger,r}$  is continuous and  $\phi: \tilde{A}^{\dagger,r} \rightarrow \tilde{A}^{\dagger,pr}$  is a homeomorphism.*

As before, let  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger,r} := \tilde{A}^{\dagger,r}[1/p]$ . We can extend  $\nu_r$  to  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger,r}$  via the 5-th part of Lemma 5.2. Note that Lemma 5.2 also holds for  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger,r}$ . Furthermore, for each finite extension  $K$  of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , let  $\tilde{B}_K^{\dagger,r} := (\tilde{B}^{\dagger,r})^{H_K}$  and  $\tilde{A}_K^{\dagger,r} := (\tilde{A}^{\dagger,r})^{H_K}$ . Let  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger} := \bigcup_{r>0} \tilde{B}^{\dagger,r}$ .

**Remark 5.5.** *The ring  $\tilde{A}^{\dagger,r}$  is not the ring of integers in  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger,r}$ , but is the ring of integers in  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger,r} \cap \tilde{A}$ .*

Similarly, let  $B^{\dagger,r} := \tilde{B}^{\dagger,r} \cap B$ ,  $B_K^{\dagger,r} := (B^{\dagger,r})^{H_K}$ ,  $B^{\dagger} := \bigcup_{r>0} B^{\dagger,r}$  and  $B_K^{\dagger} := \bigcup_{r>0} B_K^{\dagger,r}$ .

**Proposition 5.6** (cf. [Wan20, Proposition 1.9]). *The ring  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger}$  is a field. As a consequence,  $\tilde{B}_K^{\dagger}$ ,  $B^{\dagger}$  and  $B_K^{\dagger}$  are fields.*

## 5.2 Robba rings

**Lemma 5.7** (cf. [Ber10, Lemma 22.1]). *Suppose  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . There exists  $r(K) > 0$  and  $\pi_K^\dagger \in A_K^{\dagger, r(K)}$ , such that the image  $\bar{\pi}_K$  of  $\pi_K^\dagger$  in  $E_K$  is a uniformizer and  $\pi_K^\dagger/[\bar{\pi}_K]_P$  is a unit in  $A_K^{\dagger, r(K)}$ .*

Suppose  $K$  is an extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $r > 0$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_K^r$  be the ring of formal power series  $f(T) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n T^n$  with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , such that  $\text{val}_p(a_n) + nr \geq 0$  for all  $n$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow -\infty} (\text{val}_p(a_n) + nr) = +\infty$ . For any  $f \in \mathcal{A}_K^r$ , define  $\omega_r(f) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (\text{val}_p(a_n) + nr)$ . It can be easily shown that  $\omega_r$  is a valuation on  $\mathcal{A}_K^r$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{A}_K^r$  is isomorphic to the ring of analytic functions with coefficients in  $\mathcal{O}_K$  convergent on the annulus  $\{0 < \text{val}_p(T) \leq r\}$  and bounded by 1 with respect to the norm associated to  $\omega_r$ . Let  $\mathcal{B}_K^r := \mathcal{A}_K^r[1/p]$ . We can also extend  $\omega_r$  to  $\mathcal{B}_K^r$ . Then  $\mathcal{B}_K^r$  is isomorphic to the ring of bounded analytic functions with coefficients in  $K$  convergent on the annulus  $\{0 < \text{val}_p(T) \leq r\}$ .

Let  $e_K := [K_\infty : (K_0)_\infty]$ , which is the ramification index of  $K_\infty/(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\infty$ .

**Theorem 5.8** (cf. [Wan20, Theorem 1.23]). *For all  $r > r_K$ ,*

1. *there is an isomorphism of topological rings  $\mathcal{A}_{K_0}^{\frac{1}{re_K}} \rightarrow A_K^{\dagger, r}$  given by  $f \mapsto f(\pi_K^\dagger)$  such that  $\frac{pr}{p-1} \omega_{\frac{1}{re_K}}(f) = \nu_r(f(\pi_K^\dagger))$ , and*
2. *there is an isomorphism of topological rings  $\mathcal{B}_{K_0}^{\frac{1}{re_K}} \rightarrow B_K^{\dagger, r}$  given by  $f \mapsto f(\pi_K^\dagger)$  such that  $\frac{pr}{p-1} \omega_{\frac{1}{re_K}}(f) = \nu_r(f(\pi_K^\dagger))$ .*

## 6 Cherbonnier–Colmez’s theorem

Recall that in [Section 4.2](#), we proved the equivalences between  $p$ -adic Galois representations and  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules. In this subsection, we want to push the equivalence further to  $(\phi, \Gamma)$ -modules over overconvergent elements, which is the Cherbonnier–Colmez’s theorem. To do this, we need to introduce the technique by Colmez–Sen–Tate to overcome the absence of generalized Hilbert’s theorem 90.

### 6.1 The Colmez–Sen–Tate conditions

Let  $K$  be a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\tilde{\Omega}$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra and  $\text{val}_\Omega: \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a map such that

1.  $\text{val}_\Omega(x) = +\infty$  if and only if  $x = 0$ .
2.  $\text{val}_\Omega(x + y) \geq \inf(\text{val}_\Omega(x), \text{val}_\Omega(y))$ .
3.  $\text{val}_\Omega(xy) \geq \text{val}_\Omega(x) + \text{val}_\Omega(y)$ .
4.  $\text{val}_\Omega(p) > 0$  and  $\text{val}_\Omega(px) = \text{val}_\Omega(p) + \text{val}_\Omega(x)$  if  $x \in \tilde{\Omega}$ .

Assume that  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is complete with respect to the topology defined by  $\text{val}_\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$  is equipped with a  $G_K$ -action such that  $\text{val}_\Omega$  is  $G_K$ -invariant.

We say that  $\tilde{\Omega}$  satisfies the Colmez–Sen–Tate conditions if there exists constants  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that the following three conditions hold.

- (CST1) For every finite extension  $M/L$  of  $K$ , there exists  $\alpha \in \tilde{\Omega}^{H_M}$  such that  $\text{val}_\Omega(\alpha) > -c_1$  and  $\text{Tr}_{M_\infty/L_\infty}(\alpha) = 1$ .
- (CST2) For every finite extension  $L$  of  $K$ , there exists  $n(L) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and an increasing sequence  $\{\Omega_{L,n}\}_{n \geq n(L)}$  of closed sub- $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebras of  $\tilde{\Omega}^{H_L}$  along with maps  $R_{L,n}: \tilde{\Omega}^{H_L} \rightarrow \Omega_{L,n}$  satisfying the following properties.
- (a) If  $x \in \tilde{\Omega}^{H_L}$ , then  $\text{val}_\Omega(R_{L,n}(x)) \geq \text{val}_\Omega(x) - c_2$  and  $R_{L,n}(x) \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
  - (b) If  $L_2/L_1$  is finite, then  $\Omega_{L_1,n} \subset \Omega_{L_2,n}$  and  $R_{L_2,n}|_{\tilde{\Omega}^{H_{L_1}}} = R_{L_1,n}$ .
  - (c)  $R_{L,n}$  is  $\Omega_{L,n}$ -linear and is the identity on  $\Omega_{L,n}$ .
  - (d) If  $g \in G_K$ , then  $g(\Omega_{L,n}) = \Omega_{g(L),n}$  and  $g \circ R_{L,n} = R_{g(L),n} \circ g$ .

Let  $\Omega_{L,\infty} := \bigcup_{n \geq n(L)} \Omega_{L,n}$ .

- (CST3) For every finite extension  $L$  of  $K$ , there exists  $m(L) \geq n(L)$  such that for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_L$  and  $n \geq \sup(\text{val}_p(\chi(\gamma) - 1), m(L))$ ,  $1 - \gamma$  is invertible on  $X_{L,n} := (1 - R_{L,n})(\tilde{\Omega}^{H_L})$  and  $\text{val}_\Omega((\gamma - 1)^{-1}x) \geq \text{val}_\Omega(x) - c_3$  for all  $x \in X_{L,n}$ .

**Example 6.1** (cf. [Ber10, §10 and §19]). Let  $\tilde{\Omega} := \mathbb{C}_p$  with  $p$ -adic valuation,  $\Omega_{L,n} := L_n$  be the finite totally ramified extension over  $L$  constructed by Lubin–Tate and  $R_n$  is the Tate’s normalized traces. Then  $\tilde{\Omega}$  satisfies the CST conditions.

The point of the CST conditions is that we can reduce  $\text{Rep}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(G_K)$  to  $\text{Rep}_{\Omega_{L,n}}(\text{Gal}(L_\infty/K))$  for some finite extension  $L$  of  $K$  and  $n \geq n(L)$ . In particular, the reduction have two steps.

1. The condition CST1 helps us to reduce to  $\text{Rep}_{\tilde{\Omega}^{HL}}(\text{Gal}(L_\infty/K))$  (cf. [Ber10, Corollary 19.3]). This result is similar to the generalized Hilbert's theorem 90.
2. The conditions CST2 and CST3 together approximate  $\text{Rep}_{\tilde{\Omega}^{HL}}(\text{Gal}(L_\infty/K))$  through  $\text{Rep}_{\Omega_{L,n}}(\text{Gal}(L_\infty/K))$  (cf. [Ber10, Corollary 19.5]).

To be precise, we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 6.2** (cf. [Ber10, Theorem 19.1]). *If  $\tilde{\Omega}$  satisfies the CST conditions, then*

$$\text{colim}_L \text{colim}_{n \geq n(L)} H^1(\text{Gal}(L_\infty/K), \text{GL}_d(\Omega_{L,n})) \cong H^1(G_K, \text{GL}_d(\tilde{\Omega}))$$

where the isomorphism is induced by the inflation maps.

**Theorem 6.3** (cf. [Ber10, Theorem 19.6 and Theorem 19.8]). *Suppose  $W \in \text{Rep}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(G_K)$  of dimension  $d$ . There exists a finite extension  $L$  of  $K$  and a finite free  $\Omega_{L,\infty}$ -submodule  $W_{L,\infty} \subset W^{HL}$  of dimension  $d$  such that  $W_{L,\infty}$  is stable under  $\text{Gal}(L_\infty/K)$  and  $W_{L,\infty} \otimes_{\Omega_{L,\infty}} \tilde{\Omega} \cong W$  in  $\text{Rep}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(G_K)$ .*

Furthermore,  $W_{L,\infty}$  is the greatest  $\Omega_{L,\infty}$ -sub-representation of  $\text{Gal}(L_\infty/K)$  of  $W^{HL}$ .

**Proposition 6.4** (cf. [Ber10, §24]). *Let  $K := \mathbb{Q}_p$ . There exists  $r_K > 0$ , such that for all  $r > r_K$ ,  $\tilde{\Omega} := \tilde{B}^{\dagger,r}$  with  $\text{val}_\Omega := \nu_r$  and  $\Omega_{L,n} := \phi^{-n}(B_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}})$  satisfy the CST conditions with some maps  $R_{L,n}$  defined in [Ber10, §24].*

## 6.2 Cherbonnier–Colmez's theorem

**Theorem 6.5** (Cherbonnier–Colmez). *Suppose  $K$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . The functor  $V \mapsto D^\dagger(V) := (B^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_K}$  induces an equivalence between  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  and  $\text{Mod}_{B_K^\dagger}^{\text{et}}(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ , where a  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -modules over  $B_K^\dagger$  is étale if it is after base-changing to  $B_K$ .*

By definition,  $\text{Mod}_{B_K^\dagger}^{\text{et}}(\phi, \Gamma_K) \cong \text{Mod}_{B_K}^{\text{et}}(\phi, \Gamma_K)$  by base-changing. Thus, we remain to show that  $D^\dagger$  is well-defined and  $D(V)$  is naturally isomorphic to  $B_K \otimes_{B_K^\dagger} D^\dagger(V)$ , where  $D(V) := (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_K}$  as in Theorem 4.20.

The theorem is deduced from the following lemma and proposition. The idea is that firstly we use the CST-method to reduce to a  $\text{Gal}(L_\infty/K)$ -submodule  $D_L^{\dagger,r}$  of  $D^\dagger(V)$  depending on the radius of convergence  $r$ . Since  $\phi$  induces a homeomorphism  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger,r} \rightarrow \tilde{B}^{\dagger,pr}$  for all  $r > 0$  and the matrix of  $\phi$  has only finite entries, we can raise the radius of convergence large enough to promote  $D_L^{\dagger,r}$  to a  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -module. Finally, we extend the coefficient to get  $D^\dagger(V)$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *For any  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  of dimension  $d$ , there is a finite extension  $L$  of  $K$  and  $s(V) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that for all  $s \geq s(V)$ ,  $(\tilde{B}^{\dagger,s} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_L}$  has a free  $B_L^{\dagger,s}$ -submodule  $D_L^{\dagger,s}$  of dimension  $d$  such that  $D_L^{\dagger,s}$  is stable under  $G_K$ ,  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger,s} \otimes_{B_L^{\dagger,s}} D_L^{\dagger,s} \cong \tilde{B}^{\dagger,s} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$  via the map  $\lambda \otimes x \mapsto \lambda x$  and  $D_L^{\dagger} := B_L^{\dagger} \otimes_{B_L^{\dagger,s}} D_L^{\dagger,s} \hookrightarrow \tilde{B}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$  is stable under  $\phi$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $r > 0$  such that  $(\tilde{B}^{\dagger,r}, \nu_r, \phi^{-n}(B_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}}))$  satisfies the CST conditions. By [Theorem 6.2](#), there is a finite extension  $L$  of  $K$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and a finite free  $\phi^{-n}(B_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}})$ -submodule  $D_{L,n}^{\dagger,r}$  of  $(\tilde{B}^{\dagger,r} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_L}$  such that  $D_{L,n}^{\dagger,r}$  is of dimension  $d$  and stable under  $G_K$  and  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger,r} \otimes_{\phi^{-n}(B_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}})} D_{L,n}^{\dagger,r} \cong \tilde{B}^{\dagger,r} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ .

We want the coefficient to be in  $B_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}}$ , but not in  $\phi^{-n}(B_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}})$ . Let  $D_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}} := \phi^n(D_{L,n}^{\dagger,r})$  in  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ . Then  $D_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}}$  is stable under  $G_K$ . Since  $\phi$  is injective,  $D_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}}$  is still finite free of dimension  $d$ . Moreover, we have  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger,p^{nr}} \otimes_{B_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}}} D_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}} \cong \tilde{B}^{\dagger,p^{nr}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ .

Now we have to deal with the action of  $\phi$ . For any  $t > 0$ , let  $B_{L,\infty}^{\dagger,t} := \cup_{n \geq n(L)} \phi^{-n}(B_L^{\dagger,p^{nt}})$ . Note that  $B_{L,\infty}^{\dagger,p^{n+1}r} \otimes_{B_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}}} D_L^{\dagger,p^{n+1}r}$  and  $B_{L,\infty}^{\dagger,p^{n+1}r} \otimes_{\phi(B_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}})} \phi(D_L^{\dagger,p^{n+1}r})$  are both finite free  $B_{L,\infty}^{\dagger,p^{n+1}r}$ -submodules of  $(\tilde{B}^{\dagger,p^{n+1}r} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_L}$  of dimension  $d$  and stable under  $G_K$ . Thus, by [Theorem 6.3](#), there exists a finite free  $B_{L,\infty}^{\dagger,p^{n+1}r}$ -submodule  $D_{L,\infty}^{\dagger,p^{n+1}r}$  of  $(\tilde{B}^{\dagger,p^{n+1}r} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_L}$ , such that the above two modules are contained in  $D_{L,\infty}^{\dagger,p^{n+1}r}$ . In particular, the matrix of  $\phi$  under a basis of  $D_L^{\dagger,p^{nr}}$  belongs to  $\phi^{-m}(B_L^{\dagger,p^{m+n+1}r})$  for  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  large enough.

We finish the proof by putting  $s(V) := p^{m+n+1}r$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.7** (cf. [\[Wan20, Theorem 2.20\(1\)\]](#)). *For any  $V \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  of dimension  $d$ , let  $D_L^{\dagger}$  be the finite free  $(\phi, \text{Gal}(L_{\infty}/K))$ -module of dimension  $d$  over  $B_L^{\dagger}$  in the above lemma. Then  $B_L \otimes_{B_L^{\dagger}} D_L^{\dagger} \cong (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_L} =: D_L(V)$  in  $\text{Mod}_{B_L}^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma_L)$ .*

*Moreover,  $D^{\dagger}(V)$  is an étale  $(\phi, \Gamma_K)$ -module over  $B_K^{\dagger}$  of dimension  $d$  and  $B_K \otimes_{B_K^{\dagger}} D^{\dagger}(V) \cong D(V)$  via the map  $\lambda \otimes x \mapsto \lambda x$ , which is natural.*

*Proof.* Let  $D_L^{\dagger}$  be the Moreover,  $\tilde{B}^{\dagger} \otimes_{B_L^{\dagger}} D_L^{\dagger} \cong \tilde{B}^{\dagger} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$  via the map  $\lambda \otimes x \mapsto \lambda x$ . We want to compare both sides over rings without tilde.

Let  $D_L := B_L \otimes_{B_L^{\dagger}} D_L^{\dagger}$ . Then  $\tilde{B} \otimes_{B_L} D_L \cong \tilde{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a lattice in  $V$ . Since  $\tilde{B} = \tilde{A}[1/p]$  and  $B_L$  is a subfield of  $\tilde{B}$ ,  $D_L \cap \tilde{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{L}$  is an  $A_L$ -lattice in  $D_L$ . Thus,  $D_L$  is étale by a similar argument in [Proposition 4.18](#). By [Theorem 4.20](#), there is a  $W \in \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  such that  $\tilde{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} W \cong \tilde{B} \otimes_{B_L} D_L \cong \tilde{B} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$  as  $(\phi, G_K)$ -modules over  $\tilde{B}$ . Since  $\tilde{B}^{\phi=1} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $W \cong V$  in  $\text{Rep}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_K)$  by taking the  $\phi$ -fixed points. Thus,  $D_L \cong (B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_L}$  in  $\text{Mod}_{B_L}^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma_L)$ .

Note that  $D_L^\dagger$  admits compatible monomorphisms to both  $\tilde{B}^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$  and  $B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V$ . Therefore, there is a monomorphism  $D_L^\dagger \hookrightarrow (B^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_L}$  in  $\text{Mod}_{B_L^\dagger}^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma_L)$ . Note that  $\dim((B^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_L}) \leq \dim(V) = \dim(D_L) = \dim(D_L^\dagger)$ . We have that  $D_L^\dagger \cong (B^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_L}$ . Similarly, we have  $B_L \otimes_{B_L^\dagger} D_L^\dagger \cong D_L(V)$  in  $\text{Mod}_{B_L}^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma_L)$ .

Finally, we use the Galois descent to reduce to the field  $K$ . Note that  $B_K^\dagger = (B_L^\dagger)^{H_K/H_L}$ . By [Proposition 3.3](#),  $H_{\text{cts}}^1(H_K/H_L, \text{GL}_d(B_L^\dagger)) \cong 0$ . Therefore,  $D^\dagger(V) \cong ((B^\dagger \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{H_L})^{H_K/H_L}$  is of dimension  $d$ , and  $D^\dagger(V)$  is étale since  $D_L$  is étale. Thus,  $B_L^\dagger \otimes_{B_K^\dagger} D^\dagger(V) \cong D_L^\dagger$ . Hence,

$$B \otimes_{B_K^\dagger} D^\dagger(V) \cong B \otimes_{B_L^\dagger} D_L^\dagger \cong B \otimes_{B_L} D_L(V) \cong B \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V.$$

By taking  $H_K$ -fixed points on both sides, we get  $B_K \otimes_{B_K^\dagger} D^\dagger(V) \cong D(V)$  in  $\text{Mod}_{B_K}^{\text{ét}}(\phi, \Gamma_L)$ . □

## References

- [BC09] Oliver Brinon and Brian Conrad. Cmi summer school notes on  $p$ -adic hodge theory. <https://math.stanford.edu/~conrad/papers/notes.pdf>, 2009. 3.7
- [Ber10] Laurent Berger. Galois representations and  $(\rho, \Gamma)$ -modules. <http://perso.ens-lyon.fr/laurent.berger/autres textes/CoursIHP2010.pdf>, 2010. 3.1, 3.3, 3.6, 3.8, 4.1, 4.9, 5.7, 6.1, 1, 2, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4
- [FO22] Jean-Marc Fontaine and Yi Ouyang. Theory of  $p$ -adic galois representations. <http://staff.ustc.edu.cn/~yiouyang/galoisrep.pdf>, 2022. 4.5
- [SW20] Peter Scholze and Jared Weinstein. *Berkeley lectures on  $p$ -adic geometry*, volume 207 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2020. 2, 2.4, 2.12, 2.13, 2.2, 2.16, 2.18, 2.19
- [Wan20] Yupeng Wang. Overconvergent theory. <http://faculty.bicmr.pku.edu.cn/~ruochuan/2020summer/Wang-Yupeng.pdf>, 2020. 5, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.6, 5.8, 6.7